

THE DAILY RECORD

Maryland's trusted source for business, legal and government news

EDITOR'S PICKS

Our best, right now.

Landmark de facto parenthood decision needs clarification, lawyers say

by Steve Lash | Dec. 26

Business groups want voices heard as lawmakers vow to pass paid sick-leave

by Anamika Roy | Dec. 26

Court of Appeals suspends lawyer for mishandling trust account

by Lauren Kirkwood | Dec. 23

Property owner prevails in St. Mary's fraudulent conveyance case

by Heather Cobun | Dec. 22

Trump administration brings hope, uncertainty for Md. financial services industry

by Anamika Roy | Dec. 22

ABOUT STEVE LASH



Steve Lash covers appellate courts and general legal affairs for The Daily Record. He is a member of the U.S. Supreme Court and Maryland bars.



BY STEVE LASH

DeWolfe seeks Supreme Court review of search following DUI arrest

Who owns the sunlight?

Landmark de facto parenthood decision needs clarification, lawyers say

Would-be state's attorney thanks judges for what might have been

Harford Co. state's attorney rescinded retirement after learning of successor

All (2078)

FAMILY LAW

Subscribers to Maryland Family Law Update can access the **digital edition archive**.

DeWolfe seeks Supreme Court review of search following DUI arrest

By: Steve Lash Daily Record Legal Affairs Writer December 27, 2016

Maryland Public Defender Paul B. DeWolfe has asked the U.S. Supreme Court to decide whether police have authority to search without a warrant the cars of drivers they arrest on suspicion of drunken driving.

DeWolfe's request follows the Court of Appeals' ruling in May that an arrest for suspected drunken driving generally provides officers with "reason to believe" that open bottles might be found in the vehicles' passenger compartments, which the judges said is all the police need to conduct a warrantless search incident to the arrest.

Once a search is deemed valid, any evidence of criminality found in the vehicle will generally be admissible in court, under Supreme Court precedent.

DeWolfe stated in papers filed with the justices that the "reason to believe" standard provides individuals arrested on suspicion of drunken driving with insufficient protection against unreasonable searches as provided under the U.S. Constitution's Fourth Amendment.

To conduct a constitutional search, police need at least "reasonable suspicion" based on "more than the arresting officer's unquantified experience" that examining the vehicle's interior will uncover evidence related to the suspected offense, in this case drunken driving, DeWolfe wrote in October.

DeWolfe is pressing the appeal of Efrain Taylor, whose roadside arrest on suspicion of driving under the influence of alcohol was shortly followed by a search of his vehicle in which police found cocaine in the front-seat armrest. He was later convicted in Dorchester County Circuit Court of possession with intent to distribute cocaine.

DeWolfe's decision to seek Supreme Court review was in keeping with the Court of Appeals' suggestion in its ruling that clarification from the justices is needed with regard to searches of vehicle incident to arrest.

Judge Alan M. Wilner, in writing for Maryland's high court, said the justices have fomented confusion in their past decisions by using the phrases "reasonable articulable suspicion" and "reasonable to believe" in describing when police can conduct a warrantless search.

"Ultimately, the Supreme Court may need to clarify what it meant and, given the vast number of traffic stops that occur every day throughout the country, we hope that it will do so," he wrote.

Looking to *Gant*

In its decision, the Court of Appeals cited the Supreme Court's 2009 decision that police had no constitutional justification for searching the car of a motorist who had just been arrested for driving on a suspended license. Evidence of that crime would be found in motor-vehicle-agency records, not in the automobile, the Supreme Court said in overturning a cocaine-possession conviction in *Arizona v. Gant*.

The justices added in *Gant* that a warrantless search incident to an arrest is justified if it was "reasonable [for police] to believe evidence related to the crime of arrest might be found in the vehicle."

But DeWolfe told the Supreme Court that the *Gant* decision has resulted in some states saying police need "probable cause"; some states and the District of Columbia saying police need "reasonable articulable suspicion"; and other states, like Maryland, saying officers need just a "reasonable belief" that evidence related to the suspected crime might be found.

"The issue is one of fundamental Fourth Amendment application: When is it 'reasonable to believe' that relevant evidence will be found in the recent arrestee's vehicle," DeWolfe wrote. "Given the frequency of roadside arrests – and, specifically, the frequency of roadside arrests for DUI – the novel exception announced in *Gant* requires clarification by this court: Does the Fourth Amendment require this warrantless



Maryland Public Defender Paul B. DeWolfe (Maximilian Franz/The Daily Record)

CONTACT US

Call us at (443) 524-8100, or email or visit us



MORE LAW NEWS

Trump to inherit more than 100 court vacancies, reshape judiciary

by The Washington Post News Service & Syndicate | Dec. 27

DeWolfe seeks Supreme Court review of search following DUI arrest

by Steve Lash | Dec. 27

Landmark de facto parenthood decision needs clarification, lawyers say

by Steve Lash | Dec. 26

Court of Appeals suspends lawyer for mishandling account

by Lauren Kirkwood | Dec. 23

Cardinal Health to pay millions to settle Md., Fla. allegations

by Associated Press | Dec. 23

ON THE RECORD

The Daily Record's law blog

Who owns the sunlight?

by Steve Lash | Dec. 27

FEATURED VIDEO



Leading Women 2016 Recap Video

from [Maryland Daily Record](#)

03:37

@MDDAILYRECORD



LATEST UNREPORTED OPINIONS

IN RE: T.A.
BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND v. DORA WADDY
STATE OF MARYLAND v. JASON LOUIS FRIDAY
RAYMOND R. EVANS v. STATE OF MARYLAND
JOEY G. POINDEXTER v. STATE OF MARYLAND

LAW DIGEST

Law Digest - Maryland Court of Special Appeals - Dec. 22, 2016

LEGAL NOTICES

Family - Rice, Anthony L
Name Change - McFadden, Dempsey A
Name Change - McFadden, Makenzi B
Name Change - Gamper, Bennett A
Name Change - Duvall, Shaina C

DIGITAL EDITION



Subscribers to The Daily Record can access the digital edition archive.

search to be supported by, at the least, reasonable suspicion, and, if so, what type of evidence adequately establishes that suspicion."

DeWolfe's brief is co-signed by Assistant Maryland Public Defender Daniel Kobrin, Taylor's counsel of record at the Supreme Court.

'Commonsense application'

Maryland Attorney General Brian E. Frosh's office said in a statement Tuesday that it is preparing its response to DeWolfe's petition. The response is due at the Supreme Court on Feb. 24.

In a statement in May, the attorney general's office praised the Court of Appeals' ruling as a "commonsense application of the principle announced" in Gant.

"Under most circumstances, when a motorist is arrested for driving under the influence, it is reasonable for a police officer to conclude that evidence of that crime might be found in the passenger compartment of the vehicle," the statement read. "The officer can, therefore, conduct a search for such evidence incident to the lawful arrest."

The justices have not said when they will vote on whether to hear Taylor's appeal.

The case is docketed at the Supreme Court as Efrain Taylor v. State of Maryland, No. 16-467.

40 years

Cambridge police officer Chad Mothersell testified that he pulled Taylor over at 1 a.m. on March 1, 2013, after seeing him speed and fail to stop at a stop sign. Taylor's breath smelled of alcohol, his speech was slurred, his eyes were bloodshot and glassy, he failed a sobriety test and he said he had been at a local bar, Mothersell said in explaining his decision to make the arrest.

With Taylor in the backseat of Mothersell's police car, another officer searched Taylor's vehicle and found the cocaine. Taylor was sentenced in July 2014 to 40 years in prison, with 20 years suspended.

The intermediate Court of Special Appeals upheld the conviction in a reported opinion in August 2015.

The Court of Appeals rendered its decision in Efrain Taylor v. State of Maryland, No. 75, September Term 2015.

Share this:

- Email, Print, Facebook, LinkedIn, Twitter, Google

Tagged with: BRIAN FROSH, DRUNKEN DRIVING, PAUL DEWOLFE, SEARCH INCIDENT TO ARREST, SUPREME COURT

To purchase a reprint of this article, contact reprints@thedailyrecord.com.

LEAVE A REPLY

Logged in as mrothstein@opd.state.md.us. Log out?

Empty text box for user comment.

reCAPTCHA 'I'm not a robot' verification box.

Post Comment

Tweets by @MDDailyRecord

The Daily Record @MDDailyRecord
US consumer confidence climbed to 15-year hi in December mytdr.co/iPAM307IG2p

The Daily Record @MDDailyRecord
Home prices rise 5.1 in October: thedailyrecord.com/2016/12/27/us...

The Daily Record @MDDailyRecord
Embed View on Tv

MY ACCOUNT

- LOG OUT, SUBSCRIBE, MANAGE ACCOUNT, PRIVACY POLICY, SUBSCRIBER AGREEMENT

RECENT POSTS

- Island of misfit toys, UPS expects 1.3M returns in one day
Trump to inherit more than 100 court vacancies, plans to reshape judiciary
DeWolfe seeks Supreme Court review of search following DUI arrest
IN RE: T.A.

THE DAILY RECORD

- ABOUT, ADVERTISE, CONTACT US, EVENTS, FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS

BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND v. DORA WADDY



Copyright © 2016 Maryland Daily Record | 200 St. Paul Place, Suite 2480, Baltimore, MD 21202 |
(443) 524-8100 | ISSN 2474-784X

